An Introduction to the Unified Coverage Interoperability Standard – UCIS Technical Committee
Motivation for UCIS

- **Verification is hard**
  - <insert standard slide: 70+%, increasing complexity, yadda, yadda, yadda>

- **Variety of verification techniques and methods**
  - Directed and constrained-random simulation
  - Formal verification
  - Testbench methodologies

- **Design and verification engineers need coverage metrics:**
  - What has been checked, what remains to be checked?
  - How many engineers do we need?
  - How much time do we need?
  - Where best to direct verification resources?
  - What is the best tool or method to efficiently cover problem areas?

- **Coverage metrics:**
  - Conditional Coverage
  - Functional Coverage
  - Code Coverage
  - Formal Verification Coverage
  - What coverage overlaps?
  - What coverage is missing?
UCIS Development Timeline

- Accellera Forms UCIS Committee
  - Sept ‘06

- Requirements Document Approved
  - Jun ‘08

- Synopsys Added XML Interchange format
  - July ‘09

- UCIS 1.0 Standard Defined
  - Jan ‘10

- Vendor initial support of UCIS 1.0
  - May ‘11

- Mentor UCDB Technology Donation
  - Jan ‘11

- Committee voted to use Mentor donation with Cadence additions
  - Feb ‘12

- Accellera Board Approves UCIS 1.0
  - June ‘12

- TBD

Accellera UCIS Committee Deliverables to Member Companies
- UCIS Specification V1.0
- UCIS API Header File (.h) for member companies
- XML template
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Unified View of Coverage Data

- Provides a standard API for tools that produce and consume verification coverage data
  - Multiple heterogeneous coverage data producers
  - Multiple heterogeneous coverage data consumers
    - Reporting tools
    - Analysis tools
    - Test plan tools
    - Advanced verification tools
Coverage Flow & Associated Tools

- **Generate coverage**
  - Single verification run, single/multiple coverage types
  - Multiple verification runs

- **Access coverage**
  - Using UCIS Application Programming Interface (API)
  - Using Interchange Format (XML Interchange Format)

- **Merge coverage**
  - Across runs, components, tools

- **Analyze coverage**
  - Report unhit coverage points
  - Track progress of coverage over time

---

**Scope of UCIS**

- Simulation
- Formal
- Acceleration
- Emulation
- Register
- HW/SW
- FPGA Prototype
- Systems Tools

- UCIS API
  - Read
  - Write
- UCIS XML
  - Open
  - Write

- Coverage Analysis Tools
  - Customers Management Tools
  - Vendors Management Tools
Use Cases and Data Flow - Merge

Temporal Merge
- Simulation run BLKA Monday
- Simulation run BLKA Tuesday
- Simulation run BLKA Wednesday

Spatial Merge
- Simulation run BLKA
- Simulation run BLKB
- Simulation run BLKC
- Simulation run DUT

Heterogeneous Merge
- Simulation run BLKA
- FV run BLKB
- Emulation BLKC

UCISDB

Data Merge and Reporting
- External Tool
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Universally Recognizable and Accessible Coverage Data

(the UCIS mission statement)

- Coverage data – a statement about the target domain
- Accessible – a statement about the new API and implementations
- Universally recognizable – a statement about the data

Tools are UCIS applications

DUT files (HDL)

Simulator tool (+ testbench, configurations)

Formal tool

UCISDB
DUT model
plus coverage data
plus construction records

1 DUT, multiple tool runs, per database
Coverage Data

- The Information Model tells us how to represent coverage data
- Highly generalized model of coverage may be stated as
  @event if (condition) counter++

- Variable value change
- Statement execution
- Covergroup sample
- Sample value
- Disabled
- SV bins
- Assertion status
- Any count!

Same event
Different conditions
Multiple ‘associated’ counters
Information Model Analysis

(the search for identifiable events that are countable and groupable in condition sets)

- SV Covergroups –define constructs that closely match general model

  ```verilog
  bit [9:0] v_a;
  covergroup cg @(posedge clk);
  coverpoint v_a
  {
    bins a = { [0:63],65 }; // note overlapping values
    bins c[] = { 200,201,202 };
    bins d = { [1000:] };
    bins others[] = default;
  }
  endgroup
  ```

- Assertions – ‘negative’ and optional ‘positive’ count semantics, counters are implicit

  ```verilog
  property abc(a, b, c);
  disable iff (a==2) @(posedge clk) not (b ##1 c);
  endproperty

  env_prop: assert property (abc(rst, in1, in2))
  $display("env_prop passed.");
  else $display("env_prop failed.");
  ```
Data Model

- A common data model is defined to hold the range of coverage information models
  - An API provides write/read access to this data model
  - The data model ≠ information model, but can represent a useful subset of it.

- The data model uses a PRIMARY KEY lookup method to underpin unambiguous object recognition

- The UCIS data model has these primary representational forms
  - Scopes – composite objects to represent structure for HDL design units, instantiated HDL objects and coverage aggregations
  - Coveritems – leaf composite objects to represent the information model (counters)
  - History Nodes – composite objects to hold meta data about the environment, configuration, and other tool and collection processes
  - Attributes, tags, and flags – decoration on the composite objects to add meaning to them
UCIS Object Types

- **Scopes**
  - Must have a name and a scope type.
  - May be a component of a scope hierarchy (scopes may own zero or more child scopes and zero or more coveritems)
  - The combination of name and type is the primary key for the scope and must be unique under the parent. This is a data model requirement.

- **Coveritems**
  - Must have a name and a coveritem type.
  - A leaf node and may not own scopes or coveritems
  - The combination of name and type is the primary key for the coveritem and must be unique under the parental scope.

- **History Nodes**
  - Have a logical name which is their primary key, unique within a UCISDB
  - Record the test contribution history to the database
UCIS API - Interface to the Data Model

- Generic view of a UCIS Database

List of history nodes to record database construction

List of scope constructs describing the design units

Hierarchy of scope and coveritem constructs representing the instantiated DUT, plus additional coverage constructs where appropriate.

- The API routines are used to find, create, query, explore, annotate…
Unique IDs

- Unique IDs, as the name implies, uniquely identify a single scope or coveritem in the UCISDB.

- They rely on the defined primary key and structural behavior, specifically that the type/name combination of each node is unique under its parental scope.

- The Unique ID is therefore constructed from a list of primary keys starting at the top of the hierarchy and tracing the path to the target.

```
/HDL1/4:HDL5/16:COV3/3:C10
```
Universal Object Recognition

- Data is mapped from the information model to the data model
  - Needs agreement on how unique data in the information model is mapped to data model -- expressed as advisory templates for interpreting data
  - Both structural and naming features are necessary to map complex models
  - Other models are not precluded by the standardized templates
  - Standardized mappings make objects universally recognizable

- Universal recognition is not canonical naming of coverage items
  - Primary key management makes objects unambiguous

```vhdl
bit [0:0] myreg;
covergroup cg @(posedge clk);
myreg: coverpoint myreg
  {bins a = [0:63],[65];
bins b[] = [127:150],[148:191];
}endgroup
```

UCIS scope type: COVERGROUP
UCIS name: cg

UCIS scope type: COVERPOINT
UCIS name: myreg

UCIS coveritem type: CVGBIN
UCIS name: a

UCIS coveritem type: CVGBIN
UCIS name: b1

UCIS coveritem type: CVGBIN
UCIS name: b2
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Interchange Format (XML)

- Interoperability requires exchange of coverage data between vendors and users
  - Exchange data in a portable way
  - XML is a hardware and software independent tool for transporting data

- Interchange format enables exchange of data between environments
  - Dump coverage data from one environment and read in another
  - Dump data from multiple environments and merge into another
  - Read data from one environment, modify, then read into another

- XML schema for the interchange format
  - Follows the UCIS coverage model
  - Defines the tags used in the interchange format
  - Includes some of the semantic rules of the coverage model
Top level XML Schema element

### UCIS
- **Contents:** ELEMENTS
- **Attributes:** ucisVersion, writtenBy, writtenTime

### sourceFiles

### historyNodes

### instanceCoverages

### designParameters
- **id**
- **toggleCoverage**
- **blockCoverage**
- **conditionCoverage**
- **branchCoverage**
- **fsmCoverage**
- **assertionCoverage**
- **covergroupCoverage**

### InstanceCoverage
- **Contents:** ELEMENTS
- **Attributes:** name, key, instanceId, alias, moduleName, parentInstanceId, UserAttr

**XML schema defines**
- **Elements:** tags that must appear
- **Attributes:** tags that are **optional**
- **Element hierarchy and its child elements**
- **Number & order of child elements**
- **Data types for elements & attributes**
- **Define default & fixed values for elements & attributes**
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UCIS Assertion Formal Status API

- `ucis_SetFormalStatus(db, test, assertscope, status )`
- `ucis_GetFormalStatus(db, test, assertscope, &status)`

- status can be: FAILURE, PROOF, VACUOUS, INCONCLUSIVE, ASSUMPTION

- Similar APIs for:
  - Setting location of witness waveforms
  - Formal radius (for INCONCLUSIVE, FAILURE)

Scopes

assert scope “A”

A: assert property (P)
Formally Unreachable Coverage Items

- Formal tools can mark formally reachable coverage items using the usual simulation APIs.
- An additional formal specific UCIS API is defined for identifying formally unreachable coverage items.
- Any coverage item can be marked unreachable with respect to formal test(s) (not just assertion items).

ucis_SetFormallyUnreachableCover Test(db, test, coverscope, index)
ucis_GetFormallyUnreachableCoverTest(db, test, coverscope, index, &flag)
Formal Tests and Formal Environment

- What makes a test a formal test?
  - A formal test is a UCIS test with associated formal data

\[
\text{ucis\_FormalTestGetInfo(db, test, } \backslash \\
\text{&toolInfo, &formalEnv, &coverageContext);}
\]

- Basic test info (same as simulation tests, username, run date, etc.) properties on test ucisHistoryNode object

- Formal Environment object – generic formal info
  - assumptions used, and scope of analysis – what part of the design was formal run on?

- Formal tool specific info - FormalToolInfo
  - setup file, report file, result database.

- Coverage context – a string property which describes how the coverage is to be interpreted
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Limitations (caveat emptor)

- Information loss is inevitable
  - Data transfer to the UCISDB data model is necessarily selective
  - Data is retained to support common coverage models

- There is an assumption that the original source code is available to the engineer interpreting the UCISDB.
  - Source code is not transferred to the UCISDB

- Interchange of data between tools
  - Standard API does not automatically equal interoperability
  - Many metrics modeled differently by vendors
  - User-supplied names may be necessary
    - E.g. covergroups, class instances

- High-level operations implemented as user applets
  - Merging
  - Filtering
  - Calculating Coverage Scores
  - Test ranking
  - Heterogeneous merge
EDA Industry Support

The Unified Coverage Interoperability Standard has been contributed by and developed by primary suppliers in EDA. All of the vendors listed here have donated substantial time to achieve a 1.0 draft, and plan on initial tool support starting in 2012.
UCIS Roadmap

- With UCIS 1.0, the focus is on user adoption and feedback.
  - Forward looking roadmap will be prioritized and driven by the user community

- Adoption and Feedback
  - Open, user-derived collection of applications
  - Channel to collect feedback and feature requests
    - mailto: review-ucis@lists.accellera.org
  - Discussion forum to tackle current open issues

- Information about UCIS is available at:

  http://www.accellera.org/activities/committees/ucis
Q&A