Cliff's votes summarized:

See attached document for explanations.

1789 \_X\_Yes \_\_\_ No 1857 \_\_\_ Yes \_X\_ No 1371 \_\_\_ Yes \_X\_ No

1789 \_X\_Yes \_\_\_ No

## 1857 \_\_\_\_ Yes \_X\_ No

I believe I favor the proposal but I am not completely following the second example and the issue is complex enough that I would like Gord to personally explain the issue and how the second example works in our regular EC conference call on 6/25.

## 1371 \_\_\_\_ Yes \_X\_ No

I agree with Jonathan about the extra sentence fragment, but I also believe the addition to the first paragraph is incomplete.

Since the first paragraph is explicit about what happens if there is at least one initial block in at least one program, it should also explain what happens when there are not initial blocks in any programs.

I believe when there are one or more programs with no initial blocks, such as when the programs might only contain declarations, task and function definitions (for whatever reason), then the programs do not call explicit \$exit commands. If this is true, it should be properly worded and added to the first paragraph of the proposal.

Also, the proposal is font inconsistent, especially in the second paragraph of the proposal (friendly amendment update).