**Email Voting Status** 

Person		Voting	CH-111	CH-113	CH-114	CH-115	CH-116
Arturo Salz	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Brad Pierce	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cliff Cummings	1	0					
Dennis Brophy	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Francoise Martinolle	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Jay Lawrence	1	1	-12	1	1	1	-12
Jeff Freedman	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Mehdi Mohtashemi	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Michael Burns	1	0					
Neil Korpusik	1	0					
Stefen Boyd	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Totals	11	8	-5	8	8	8	-6

CH-111 Jay

I must have missed the meeting where we discussed this one. Either we should require the data type kind ('enum', 'class', ...) or not. Allowing both this form and the form without the data type kind is not very helpful for anyone. Why are we allowing the 'struct' version it is not useful without dynamic structs?

Stefen

Note that the bnf for this production has changed already, and due to the way identifiers are done in the verilog bnf, it's a little different:

## REPLACE:

```
type_declaration ::=
typedef data_type type_declaration_identifier ;
| typedef hierarchical_identifier . type_identifier type_declaration_identifier ;
| typedef [ class ] class_identifier ;
| typedef class_identifier [ parameter_value_assignment ] type_declaration_identifier ;

WITH:

type_declaration ::=
typedef [ data_type ] type_declaration_identifier ;
| typedef hierarchical_identifier . type_identifier type_declaration_identifier ;
| typedef [ class ] class_identifier ;
| typedef [ enum ] enum_identifier ;
| typedef [ struct ] variable_identifier ;
| typedef [ union ] variable_identifier ;
| typedef class_identifier [ parameter_value_assignment ] type_declaration_identifier ;
```

CH-116 Jay

I believe there is a conflict between sections 16.6.7 (unidirectional implication on sequences) and section 20.9 (bidirectional implication on constraints). The same operator is used for these 2 concepts with a different meaning. This will be extremely confusing.

Dennis

I would suggest the following wording change in Section 20.2: Change "... allows users to develop general-purpose ..." to "... allow the development of general-purpose ..."